

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,500	03/15/2004	Michael R. Kling	03-1-528	9366
759	90 12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Carlo S. Besso			BENNETT,	ZAHRA I
OSRAM SYLV. 100 Endicott Str			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Danvers, MA 01923			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2009	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/800,500	KLING ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Zahra Bennett	2875		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT INTO THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 N This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 30 November 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	or election requirement. er. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See etion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
,—	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/30/05</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/800,500

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lapatovich et al. (US Patent Publication 2003/0193280) in view of Jones et al. (US Patent 6,053,623).

Lapatovich discloses a lamp assembly comprising:

a light source (Figure 1: 12) including a capsule (inside 12) having two sealed electrodes (14,16) sealed in a seal area (34) and defining a lamp axis (18), the capsule being sealed in a light transmissive jacket (12); a concave shell (20) having an internal surface with a reflective surface (22) formed thereon, the shell has a neck (24) defining a neck cavity (26) and a reflector axis (28), the jacket being provided with an electrical connection (30) and a mechanical support for the light source ([0011] lines 8-9); said shell surrounding the source is reflecting light from the light source to a field to be illuminated during lamp operation, the source and the reflector are oriented with the lamp axis to be substantially co-axial with the reflector axis, and at least a portion of at least one of the electrodes extending in said neck cavity (Figure 1), and

a zone (38, see [0015] lines 18-23) formed in the neck cavity for substantially redirecting specular reflection away from the seal area.

Lapatovich teaches a neck cavity but does not teach that it has an open bottom. In a similar device, Jones et al teaches a neck cavity (Figure 4: 24c) with an open bottom (16c). Jones further teaches that the zone (23c) terminating adjacent the open bottom, and an end of the light transmissive jacket (10c) are positioned in the open bottom. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to include an open bottom on the neck cavity of Lapatovich as taught by Jones et al. for the benefit of using a filler to retain the position of the lamp and afford a better seal.

With respect to claim 3, Lapatovich teaches the zone comprised of a stippled pattern arrayed about the surface of the neck cavity ([0015] lines 18-23).

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lapatovich and Jones as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bergman (US Patent 6,252,338). Regarding claims 2 and 4 applicant has failed to separately argue these dependent claims, therefore, the claims are still rejected for the reasons discussed in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2875

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zahra Bennett whose telephone number is 571-272-2267. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Renee Luebke can be reached on 571-272-2009. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-2267.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,500 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RENEE LUEBKE